

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/721,391		<b>Applicant(s)</b> VILE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.		<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) J. Patrick Finn III, Ph.D.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

**Date of Interview:** 1 September 2004

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
Claims discussed:  
  
Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** After-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** Morning

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Examiner contacted attorney J. Patrick Finn III, Ph.D. on 9/1/04 to propose amendments as they appear in Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in condition for allowance. Attorney Finn accepted the proposed amendments.*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)